ISSN (Online): 0493-2137

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/A9B6J

SOCIO ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AMONG WORKING WOMEN IN COIMBATORE

R. SAMUEL RAJ¹, Dr. R. ARJUNAN²

- 1. Research Scholar, Department Of Social Work, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore
- 2. Assistant Professor, Department Of Social Work, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore

INTRODUCTION

Domestic Violence against working women is a persistent public health and human rights problem. In India, 44 per cent of women of reproductive age group have ever experienced physical domestic violence. Women in rural areas were more likely to have faced domestic violence than women in urban area. Globally, the negative impact of domestic violence on health of women has been recognized and acknowledged. Domestic violence increases day by day globally. Domestic violence mostly for the working women increases the risk of their life and also to their ever existence of their well being. Various studies have identified factors coupled with the likelihood of domestic violence among working women. Underlying factors of domestic violence are the failure to perform duties and responsibilities, economic stress, hierarchical gender relations, lower household income, illiteracy, belonging to lower caste, age at marriage, number of living children, women employment. A few studies have also explored the relationship between domestic violence and socio demographic living of working women in India. However, the social determinants of domestic violence in urban community in India remain limited. Hence, the objective of this study was to know the prevalence of violence and to understand the socio-demographic factors related to it in Coimbatore City of Tamil Nadu.

Keywords: Domestic violence, Socio-Demographic Profile, Working Women

LITERTURE REVIEW

Domestic violence that is any act of physical, sexual, or psychological abuse, or the threat of such abuse, inflicted against a woman by a person closely connected to her through marriage, family relationship, or acquaintanceship is universal and has its root in the sociocultural set up of the society. The perpetrators of violence have often been found to be the males and therefore the victims, their sexual partners. Internationally, one in three women are beaten, coerced into sex or abused in their lifetime by a member of her circle of relatives (Heise et al. 1999). Looking at the domestic front, staring from Vedic period to twenty first century, women in India perhaps have never experienced equal rights and freedom compared to their male counterparts. The concept of 'Ardhangini' [half of the body] seems to be restricted only in literatures and have never implemented in practical life. In addition to this, extracts from Ramcharitamanas of Tulsidas like 'Dhol, Gauwnaar, Shudra, Pashu aur Nari; Sakal Tadan ka Adhikari' [drums, uncivilized illiterates, lower castes, animals and women are all fit be beaten] besides other indicators like Pardaha system [hiding the face in veils], Sati system [self immersion of the woman in husband's pyre] that are subject to women only; may be a reflection of the history of women's subordinate status. In short, it's always the women who need to be within the tight rope, subject to inequality and looked down as an inferior sex. Staring from childhood to the top of her life she has got to be under the control of father or husband or the son. The subordinate status of

ISSN (Online): 0493-2137

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

women combined with socio cultural norms that are inclined towards patriarchy and masculinity are often considered as a crucial factor determining the violence.

In view of the occurrences as well as the pervasiveness of domestic violence, many researchers in the past have attempted to assess the situation besides exploring its possible cause and subsequent consequences for society generally and women especially. INCLEN (2000), found it as a problem that cuts across age, education, social class and religion in India. The same study is of the view that 40 percent women had experienced a minimum of one sort of physical violence in their married life. Murthy et al. (2004) is of the view that numbers of family members, type of marriage and husband's education besides menstrual problems have significant influence on violence. While many researchers come out with findings that lifestyle of men like smoking, alcoholism and drugs promote men to commit violence (Leonard, 1992; McKenry et al., 1995; Rao, 1997 and Bhatt, 1998), some are of the view that masculinity and domestic violence are closely interlinked (Duvvury and Nayak, 2003 and Hamberger et al., 1997). Again, persons with lower socialization and responsibility are found to be the enhancers of the issues of problem (Barnett and Hamberger, 1992). Studies have also revealed that sons of violent parents (Straus et al., 1980 and Martin et al, 2002), men raised in patriarchal family structure that encourages traditional gender role (Fagot et al., 1998 and Malamuth et al., 1995) are more likely to abuse their intimate partners. Gendered socialization process is what mainly liable for violence (Sahu, 2003). Another study among Uttar Pradesh men by Gerstein (2000) is of the view that low educational level and poverty are important reasons for violence. Further, marriage at a younger age makes women susceptible to violence (Mishra, 2000; Hindin, 2002 and Rao, 1997). Besides this, the role of inter spousal relationship, sex of the adolescents, ownership of property, dowry, working status, autonomy, religion and caste of the person can't be ignored (Sahu, 2003; Swain, 2002 and Jejeebhoy, 1998).

Many studies are of the view that violence by intimate partner presumably undermines the sexual and reproductive health of the women. This extensive violence has significant harmful effects like unwanted pregnancy (Khan et al., 1996), gynecological disorders (Golding and Taylor 1996) and physical injuries to private parts (Starck et al., 1979) besides large-scale mental health impacts (UNICEF, 2000). Again, many of the commonly associated disorders/problems are found to be inadequately addressed (Mitra, 1999; Visaria, 1999; Dave and Solanki, 2000 and Jaswal, 2000). Further, as Freedman has written, violence by husbands against wife should not be seen as a break down within the social order instead of an affirmation to patriarchal social order (Travers, 1997). Similarly, Jejeebhoy (1998) is of the view that not only wife beating is deeply entrenched, but also people justify it. Thus, domestic violence is just not a personal abnormality but rather it roots within the cultural norms of the family and therefore the society. Again, looking from another angle, it's found that many of the victims of violence has either refused to call the perpetrator of the assault or attributed the injuries to other reasons (Daga et al., 1999).

In order to develop effective intervention programme and policy, it is vital to know the socio demographic profile of the working women towards the issue in-depth. Most of the studies conducted within the past are small in nature and reflects the regional picture which may not be a real picture of the entire country. In view of the above discussion, it seems essential to understand the socio demographic profile of the working women's viewpoint besides the assessment of the problem and its correlates at national level. In the present paper, an attempt has been made to study whether the socio economic and demographic profile of the working married women has any kind of impact on the Domestic violence. Specifically, the objectives of this paper are as follows:

To investigate the socio-economic and cultural causes that lead to domestic violence.

ISSN (Online): 0493-2137

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

- To comprehend the factors those constrain the respondent in non-reporting of the domestic violence.
- To suggest ways and means to curb this domestic violence on women, that is, the need
 of the hour and to curtail the illness of domestic violence.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The research design used in this study is Descriptive in nature. The descriptive design helps to study in depth the characteristics concerning individuals, group and situation. Each of these variables has different dimensions and these dimensions were analyzed separately. Influence of socio-demographic variable on these variables was analyzed. The present investigation mainly uses the descriptive method to study the Demographic Factors and its association with Domestic Violence among Working Women.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

- Working women's age influences their domestic violence.
- Working women belonging to various religions significantly differ in their domestic violence.
- Working women from rural and urban areas differ significantly in their domestic violence.
- There exists a significant difference in domestic violence of working women belonging to nuclear family and joint family.
- There is a significant difference in domestic violence of working women from different income groups.
- There is a significant difference in domestic violence of working women belonging to different occupations.
- Working women's educational qualification influences their domestic violence.
- There is a significant difference in domestic violence of working women belonging to government and private organisations.

POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The married working women constituted the population of the subjects in the present research. The random sample method was used to draw the sample for the present study. The Coimbatore district constituted the area of the study. The random sample method was used to draw the married working women sample for the present study. The selection of industry where the respondents were working was made on random basis in Coimbatore district. While the investigator visited each company, he obtained the list of all married women. Thus 400 married working women from different sectors constituted the sample for this study. The sample has been selected by random sampling technique from the population working married women.

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

H1: Working women's age influences their domestic violence **TABLE-I**

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and F-ratio of working women from different age groups.

Age	No.of Respondents	Percentage	MEAN	SD	S.Em	F Ratio	Sig.
18-20	36	14	67.17	17.78	0.804		
21-35	196	49	58.61	9.44	0.63	44.923	0.0
36-45	148	37	60.7	10.35	0.789		

The table above shows the mean, S.D, S.Em and F ratio of working women's domestic violence in terms of their age. There are 36 working women whose age is between 18-20. Their mean adjustment is 67.17, S.D is 17.784 and S.Em is 0.804. There are 196 working women whose age is 21 to 35 years. Their mean adjustment is 58.61. and the corresponding S.D is 9.449 and S.Em is 0.630. There are 148 working women whose age is 36 to 45 years. Their mean adjustment is 60.70 and S.D is 10.345 and S.Em is 0.789. The F ratio (44.92) indicates that working women belonging to various age groups differ significantly in their domestic violence.

TABLE -II

Showing ANOVA of working we	omen from c	lifferent	Age Groups	}	
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sq	F Ratio	Sig.
Between Groups	15529.29	3	5176.428		
				44.92	0.01
Within Groups	81812.47	396	115.229		
TOTAL	97341.76	399			

Showing Mean difference, S.E. difference and t-ratio of working women from different age groups.

TABLE - III

Age Group	No. of Respondents	Mean Diff	S.E Diff	T Ratio	Sig	
18-20	36	8.56	0.174	8.419	0.01	
21-35	196	0.50			0.01	
18-20	36	6.47	0.015	5.672	0.01	
36-45	148	0.47	0.015	5.672	0.01	
21-35	196	-2.09	-0.159	0.159 2.095	0.05	
36-45	148	-2.09	-0.159	2.095	0.05	

The above Table shows mean difference, S.E difference and t-ratios of different age groups of working women. The difference in the domestic violence problems of working women

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

whose age is 18-20 and 21-35 years is 8.419 and is statistically significant. The t-ratio 5.672 is the difference in the domestic violence problems of working women whose age is 18-20 and 36-45 years. The value is statistically significant. This ratio indicates that working women of 18-20 years experience more problems of domestic violence than the other groups. While comparing working women belonging to 21-35 years with that of 36-45 years, the first group (21-35) shows better adjustment than the second group (36-45) since the tratio 2.095 is statistically significant.

Hence, the hypothesis that working women's age influences their adjustment problems is accepted.

H2: Working women belonging to various religions significantly differ in their domestic violence

TABLE - IV

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and F-ratio of working women from different religion							
Religion	No. of Respondents	MEAN	SD	S.Em	F Ratio	Sig	
Hindu	190	63.6	11.653	0.528			
Muslim	80	63.17	11.719	0.904	0.098	N.S	
Christians	130	63.47	12.025	1.566			

TABLE - V

Showing ANOVA of working women from different religion								
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sq	F Ratio	Sig.			
Between Groups	26.828	2	13.414					
				0.098	NS			
Within Groups 97314.93 397 136.871								
TOTAL	97341.76	399						

The above table shows the mean, S.D, S.Em and F-ratio of working women's domestic violence in terms of their religion. There are 190 working women who belong to Hindu and their mean adjustment is 63.60, S.D is 11.65, and S.Em is 0.528. There are 80 working women belonging to Muslim and their mean adjustment is 63.17, S.D. is 11.72, and S.Em is 0.90. The number of working women who belong to Christian religion is 130 and their mean adjustment is 63.47, S.D. is 12.03, and S.Em is 1.566. The F ratio (.098) is not significant and it indicates that these groups do not differ significantly in their domestic violence. So, the hypothesis that working women belonging to various religions significantly differ in their domestic violence problems is not accepted.

H3: Working women from rural and urban areas differ significantly in their domestic violence.

ISSN (Online): 0493-2137

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

TABLE - VI

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and t-ratio of working women belonging to rural and urban areas. No. of Respondents Area Mean SD S.Em t-ratio Sig **RURAL** 128 67.4 11.551 0.586 10.57 0.01 URBAN 272 58.76 9.995 0.554

The table above shows the mean, adjustment scores, S.D, S.Em and t-ratio domestic violence myth of working women from rural and urban areas. There are 128 working women belonging to rural area and their mean adjustment is 67.40, S.D is 11.551 and S.Em is 0.586. There are 272 working women belonging to urban area and their mean adjustment is 58.76, S.D is 9.995, and S.Em is 0.554. The t-ratio (10.570) indicates that these two groups differ in their domestic violence problems. Since the t- ratio statistically significant. Working women from rural area show more problems of domestic violence than working from urban area. Hence the empirical hypothesis that working women from rural and urban area differ significantly in their domestic violence problems is accepted.

H4: There exists a significant difference in domestic violence of working women belonging to nuclear family and joint family

TABLE - VII

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and t-ratio of working women from nuclear family and joint family						
Type of Family	No. of Respondents	Mean	SD	S.Em	t-ratio	Sig
Nuclear	184	65.02	11.279	0.522	12.500	0.04
Joint	216	60.53	11.894	0.757	13.569	0.01

The above table shows the mean, S.D, S.Em and t ratio of domestic violence of working women from nuclear family and joint family. There are 184 working women belonging to nuclear family and their mean adjustment score is 65.02, S.D is 11.279 and S.Em is 0.522. The total number of working women belonging to joint family are 216 and their mean adjustment score is 60.53, S.D is 11.894 and S.Em is 0.757. The t- ratio (13.569) is statistically significant and this reveals that working women significantly differ in their domestic violence problems. Working women from joint family show more domestic violence than working women from nuclear family. Hence, the empirical hypothesis that there exists a significant difference in domestic violence problems of working women belonging to nuclear family and joint family is accepted.

H5: There is a significant difference in domestic violence of working women from different income groups.

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

TABLE - VIII

Showing Mean, S.D,	Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and F-ratio of working women from different income groups							
Income Level	No. of Respondents	MEAN	SD	S.Em	F Ratio	Sig		
Upto Rs.50,000	126	66.53	9.962	0.525				
Rs. 50,001 to 1,50,000	238	64.77	10.835	0.689	108.23 1	0.01		
Rs. 1,50,001 and above	36	50.17	9.767	0.944				

The above table shows the mean S.D, S.Em and F ratio of domestic violence of working women from different income groups . There are 126 working women whose income is upto Rs.50,000 and their mean adjustment is 66.53, S.D is 9.96, and S.Em is 0.525. There are 238 working women whose income is between Rs.50001 to Rs.150000 and their mean adjustment is 64.77, S.D is 10.84, and S.Em is 0.689. The number of working women whose income is above Rs.150000 is 36 and their mean adjustment is 50.17, S.D is 9.77, and S.Em is 0.944. The F- ratio (108.231) indicates that these three groups differ significantly in their domestic violence.

TABLE - IX

Showing ANOVA of working women from different income groups						
Sum of Squares df Mean Sq F Ratio Sig.						
Between Groups	22718.74	2	11359.37			
Within Groups	74623.02	397	104.955	108.231	0.01	
TOTAL	97341.76	399				

Showing Mean difference, S.E difference and t-ratio of working women from different income groups.

TABLE - X

Group income (monthly)	No. of Respondents	Mean Diff	S.E Diff	T Ratio	Sig
Upto 50,000	126	1.76	1.64	2.069	0.05
50,001 - 1,50,000	238	1.76	1.64	2.069	0.05
Upto 50,000	126	16.36	4.19	14.983	0.01
1,50,000 and above	36	10.30	4.19	14.903	0.01
50,001 - 1,50,000	238	14.6	2.55	11.983	0.01
1,50,000 and above	36	14.0	2.55	11.903	0.01

The above shows ANOVA of working women from different income groups. Table 5.15 shows the mean difference, S.E difference and the t-ratio of working women different income groups. Working women whose income is upto Rs.50,000 and working women between Rs.50,001 to Rs.1,50,000 is statistically significant, since the t-ratio 2.069 is statistically significant. Similarly when working women whose income is upto Rs.50000 compared with

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

the domestic violence problems of working women whose income is above Rs.150000 and above the second group (Rs 1,50000 and above) shows little domestic violence than the first group (upto Rs.50000).while comparing the domestic violence problems of working women belonging to Rs.50001 to 150000 with the working women belonging to the other group Rs.150000 and above, the second group shows a less domestic violence than the first group (Rs 50001 to 150000) since the t-ratio (11.983) is significant. Hence, the hypothesis that there is a significant difference in domestic violence problems of working women from different income groups is accepted.

H6: There is a significant difference in domestic violence of working women belonging to different occupations

Table below shows the mean adjustment scores, S.D, S.Em and F ratio of working women belonging to different occupational groups. There are 80 working women who are into business and their mean adjustment is 70.25, S.D is 10.03, S.Em is 0.664. There are 242 working women who are working in private companies and their mean adjustment is 67.13, S.D is 10.75, and S.Em is 1.304. There are 78 working women belonging to Government organization and their mean adjustment score is 60.62, S.D is 10.170, and S.Em is 0.553. The F-ratio (72.352) indicates that these different occupational groups of working women differ significantly in their domestic violence problems. ANOVA of working women from different occupation groups is shown below.

TABLE - XI

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and F ratio of working women belonging to different occupational groups						
Occupational Groups	No. of Respondents	MEAN	SD	S.Em	F Ratio	Sig
Business	80	70.25	10.025	0.664		
Private Companies	242	67.13	10.754	1.304	72.354	0.01
Government Organizations	78	60.62	10.17	0.553	72.354	0.01

TABLE - XII

Showing ANOVA of working women from different occupational Groups						
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sq	F Ratio	Sig.	
Between Groups	22791.65	3	7597.218			
Within Groups	74550.11	396	105.00	72.354	0.01	
TOTAL	97341.76	399				

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

There is a difference in the domestic violence of working women running a business and working in a Private organization (t-ratio 2.213). Working women from the private sector show a less Domestic violence than those who belong to running of a business. Working women working Government Organizations and Private Sectors (12.936), since the t – ratios are statistically significant. working women who are in Private Sector show little high domestic violence myth than those who belong to Government organizations. So, the hypothesis that there is a significant difference in domestic violence problems of working women belonging to different occupations is accepted.

Showing Mean difference, S.E difference and t-ratio of working women from different occupational groups.

TABLE - XIII

Group income (monthly)	No. of Respondents	Mean Diff	S.E Diff	T Ratio	Sig
Business	80	0.40	0.04	0.040	0.05
Private companies	242	3.12	-0.64	2.213	0.05
Business	126	9.63	0.111	11.118	0.01
Government Organizations	78	9.03	0.111	11.110	0.01
Private companies	126	17.17	-0.538	12.936	0.01
Government Organizations	78				

H7: Working women's educational qualification influences their domestic violence.

TABLE - XIV

Showing Mean, S.D, S.E m and F- ratio of working women from different educational								
groups.								
Educational	No. of	MEAN	SD	S.Em	F Ratio	Sig		
Groups	Respondents	IVICAIN	30	S.EIII	r Kallo	Sig		
Upto 10+2	124	72.45	9.693	0.622				
Graduate and Post	236	64.67	8.158	0.587	171.081	0.01		
Graduate	230	04.07	0.130	0.307	171.001	0.01		
Other Courses	40	54.74	8.756	0.581				

The above table shows the mean adjustment scores, S.D, S.Em and t-ratio of working women from different educational groups. There are 124 working women whose educational qualification is Upto 10+2 and their mean adjustment score is 72.45, S.D is 9.693, and S.Em is 0.622. There are 236 working women whose educational qualification is graduation and Post graduation and their mean adjustment score is 64.67, S.D is 8.158, and S.Em is 0.587. The number of working women belong to others category are 80 and their mean adjustment score is 54.74, S.D is 8.76, and S.Em is 0.581. The F ratio (171.08) indicates

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

that these groups of working women having different educational qualifications is significant and differ in their domestic violence problems.

TABLE - XV

Showing ANOVA of working women from different educational groups.							
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Sq	F Ratio	Sig.		
Between Groups	40842.23	3	13614.08				
Within Groups	56499.53	396	79.58	171.081	0.01		
TOTAL	97341.76	399					

Showing Mean difference, S.E difference and t-ratio of working women from different educational groups

TABLE - XVI

Group Education	No. of Respondents	Mean Diff	S.E Diff	T Ratio	Sig	
Upto 10+2	124					
Graduate and Post Graduate	236	7.78	0.035	8.92	0.01	
Upto 10+2	124	17.71 0.04	0.041	20.76	0.01	
Others	40		0.041	20.70	0.01	
Graduate and Post Graduate	236	17.47	0.576	11.928	0.01	
Others	40					

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

There is a difference in the domestic violence of working women who have got higher secondary qualifications and graduates (t-ratio 8.92). Graduate working women show less domestic violence than those who have got higher secondary qualifications. Similarly, working women who have got higher secondary experience more problems of domestic violence than working women who have got other degree (20.76). Graduate working women experience less problems of domestic violence than other educational group working women (t-ratio 11.928). Thus, graduate and post-graduate working women experience less problems of domestic violence when they are compared with other categories of working women. It is understood from the table that working women's domestic violence problems is influenced by their educational qualifications. Hence, the empirical hypothesis that working women's educational qualification significantly influences their domestic violence problems is accepted.

H8. Working women belonging to government and private organisations.

TABLE - XVII

Showing from govern	Mean, nment and	,	S.E organiza	m ations	and S.	t-ratio	of	working	women
Type of Org			N		Mean	S.D	S.E.m	t-ratio	Sig.
Governmen	nt		161		62.17	15.52	1.8		
Private			239		63.08	13.78	0.64	0.61	NS

The above shows difference in the adjustment problems of working women from government and private organizations. There are 161 working women from government organization whose adjustment score is 62.17 and 239 working women represent private organizations whose mean adjustment score is 63.08. The t- ratio (0.61) indicates that working women from these two organizations do not differ in their domestic violence problems, since the tratio is statistically not significant. Hence, the hypothesis that there is a significant difference in domestic violence problems of working women belonging to government and private organizations is not accepted.

CONCLUSION

Despite efforts made by various sections of society and the Government to curb the menace of domestic violence against women, there is a rise in domestic violence. This can be curbed by Educating women about her rights, Community screening for domestic violence, providing adequate assistance to the victim, By offering safe shelters, crisis intervention, advocacy, and education and prevention programs, Provision of strict laws and punishment for offence of domestic violence. The varying causes which can spark the violence within the four walls of homes need to be analyzed carefully and a wise study of the factors causing the violence may prevent a family to suffer from the menace of domestic violence. The domestic violence may have a far wider and deeper impact in real life than what has been covered in this essay. What is required is to see closely the association of the factors provoking a particular form of domestic violence. If these factors can be controlled then more than one form of violence can be prevented from harming an individual or our society and India would be a much better place to live in.

ISSN (Online): 0493-2137

E-Publication: Online Open Access

Vol:54 Issue:04:2021

REFERENCES

- 1. Siemieniuk R. A. C., Krentz H. B., Gish J. A., Gill M. J. "Domestic Violence Screening: Prevalence and Outcomes in a Canadian HIV Population". AIDS Patient Care and STDs 24 (12): 2010; 763–770.
- 2. Markowitz Sara. "The Price of Alcohol, Wife Abuse, and Husband Abuse". Southern Economic Journal 67 (2): 2000; 279–303.
- 3. Dutton D. G. "Patriarchy and wife assault: The Ecological fallacy". Violence and victims 9 (2): 1994; 167–182.
- 4. Brewster M. P. Journal of Family Violence 18 (4): 2003; 207–217.
- 5. Dodd L. W. "Therapeutic group work with young children and mothers who have experienced domestic abuse". Educational Psychology in Practice 25: 2009; 21–36.
- 6. Berrios D. C., Grady D. "Domestic violence. Risk factors and outcomes". The Western journal of medicine 155 (2): 1991; 133–135.
- 7. Barnett O. W. "Why Battered Women Do Not Leave, Part 2: External Inhibiting Factors-Social Support and Internal Inhibiting Factors". Trauma, Violence, & Abuse 2: 2001; 3–1.
- 8. Vitanza S., Vogel L. C., Marshall, L. L. "Distress and symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder in abused women". Violence and victims 10 (1): 1995; 23–34.
- 9. Hamberger L. K., Hastings J. E. "Personality correlates of men who batter and nonviolent men: Some continuities and discontinuities". Journal of Family Violence 6 (2): 1991; 131.
- 10. Hart S. D., Dutton D. G., Newlove T. "The Prevalence of Personality Disorder among Wife Assaulters". Journal of Personality Disorders 7 (4): 1993; 329.
- 11. Shorey, R.C. Cornelius T.L., Bell K.M. "Behavioral Theory and Dating Violence: A Framework for Prevention Programming". Journal of Behavior Analysis of Offender and Victim: Treatment and Prevention 1 (4): 2008; 298–311.
- 12. Bonem M., Stanely Kime K.L., Corbin M. "A behavioral approach to domestic violence". Journal of Behavior Analysis of Offender and Victim: Treatment and Prevention 1 (4): 2008; 210–213.
- 13. Aneshensel C. S. "Social Stress: Theory and Research". Annual Review of Sociology 1992; 18: 15–38.
- 14. Jewkes, R. "Intimate partner violence: Causes and prevention". The Lancet 359 (9315): 2002; 1423–1429.
- 15. Hotaling G. T., Sugarman D. B. "An analysis of risk markers in husband to wife violence: The current state of knowledge". Violence and victims 1986; 1 (2): 101–124.
- 16. Murphy C. M., Meyer S. L., O'Leary K. D. "Family of origin violence and MCMI-II psychopathology among partner assaultive men". Violence and victims 1993; 8 (2): 165–176.
- 17. Worell Judith. Encyclopedia of Women and Gender. 1. San Diego: Academic Press. 2001; p. 183.
- 18. Boyle A., Robinson S., Atkinson P. "Domestic violence in emergency medicine patients". Emergency medicine journal. 2005; EMJ 21 (1): 9–13.
- 19. Gerbert B., Caspers N., Bronstone A., Moe J., Abercrombie P. "A qualitative analysis of how physicians with expertise in domestic violence approach the identification of victims". Annals of Internal Medicine. 1999; 131 (8): 578–584.
- 20. Abbott P. "Women, Health and Domestic Violence". Journal of Gender Studies. 1999, 8: 83–10.
- 21. Campbell, J. C. "Commentary on Webs dale: Lethality Assessment Approaches: Reflections on Their Use and Ways Forward". Violence against Women. 2005; 11 (9): 1206–1213.
- 22. Applications to help physicians screen for domestic abuse. Mobihealthnews.com (2011-10-20). Retrieved on 2012-09-20.[40]